



Response to Questions

Rebuild: Lawncrest Recreation Center & Library

Q.1 In the front page posting of the Lawncrest Rebuild RFPs, it says a surveyor will be selected after the civil engineer is selected; but in the full RFP, it says that surveying should be included in the civil engineer's scope. Can you confirm that surveying should be included in the civil engineer's scope (and fee)?

The survey work will ultimately fall under the civil engineer's contract. If survey work is to be performed by a sub-contractor and not directly by the civil engineering firm, then the surveyor will have to be selected per the Rebuild Vendor Selection Process, which will include a separate RFP after the selection of the civil engineer.

Q.2 What is the scope of work and survey limits for the two projects? Should we assume the Library RFP covers only the library building and the grounds immediately surrounding it, while the Rec Center RFP covers the rest of the site, bounded by Hasbrook Ave., Lardner St., Comly St. and Rising Sun Ave.? Does either RFP include the L&I building and surrounding grounds?

Yes, your assumptions are correct regarding surveying. We believe that the Library site would include the Library and its immediate surroundings. According to the documentation provided by Rebuild, the Rec. Center site seems to include the L&I building area as well (see the PWD Lawncrest Recreation Center Existing Conditions map provided in the RFP). For the purposes of our RFP, do not include the L&I building area.

Q.3 Each RFP says to assume five (5) community engagement meetings. We are envisioning a community engagement process in which community meetings cover both projects. Please confirm that our proposed fee should include five meetings in each proposal, even though these meetings will be combined if the same civil engineer is selected for both projects.

We think that we will have the kick off meetings, and possibly some others, to be co-mingled. Ultimately, we are anticipating that we will also have separate meetings per site and reference to the other depending on the situation. Hence why we are thinking of 5 meetings per site. Since each is complex in its own respect, in order to not fatigue the community, we foresee that we might need to hold separate engagement meetings at times.

That is, we want the same team to tackle the two sites, which is why we are considering it to be a combined community engagement process.